1	Preparing To Take the Field: A Temporal Exploration of Stress, Emotion, and Coping
2	in Elite Cricket
3	
4	
5	Date of Submission: 13 <sup>th</sup> October 2014
6	Date of 1 <sup>st</sup> Re-Submission: 25 <sup>th</sup> February 2015
7	Date of 2 <sup>nd</sup> Re-Submission: 19 <sup>th</sup> June 2015
8	Date of 3 <sup>rd</sup> Re-submission: 10 <sup>th</sup> July 2015

1	Abstract
2	The purpose of this study was to explore the stress, emotion, and coping (SEC)
3	experiences of elite cricketers leading up to and on the day of the first competitive
4	fixture of the season. Four elite male cricketers ( $M = 21.25$ , $SD = 1.5$ ) completed
5	Stress and Emotion Diaries (SEDs) for a 7-day period leading up to and on the day of
6	the first competitive fixture of the season. We then interviewed the cricketers to
7	explore the content of the SEDs in more detail. We used semi-structured interviews to
8	glean insight into the cricketers' stressors, cognitions (appraisals), emotions, coping
9	strategies, and behaviors. Inductive and deductive content data analysis provided a
10	holistic and temporal exploration of SEC underpinned by the cognitive-motivational-
11	relational theory of emotions (Lazarus, 1999). The results highlighted the ongoing and
12	continuous nature of the SEC process whilst illustrating the coping strategies (e.g.,
13	pre-performance routines, social support, self-talk, and humor) the cricketers used
14	leading up to and on the day of competition.
15	Keywords: Stress, Appraisal, Emotion, Coping, Self-Talk, Social Support
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	

.

1	Preparing To Take the Field: A Temporal Exploration of Stress, Emotion, and Coping
2	in Elite Cricket
3	Contemporary research focusing on competition stress and emotion has been
4	informed by Lazarus and Folkman's (1984) transactional perspective of stress and the
5	cognitive-motivational-relational (CMR) theory of emotions (Lazarus, 1991, 1999,
6	2000). Essentially, Lazarus considered stress an ongoing transaction between the
7	environmental demands and a person's resources, with the process of cognitive
8	appraisal central to how the individual responds to transactions. This process involves
9	both primary and secondary appraisals. Within primary appraisal, life events are
10	constantly evaluated with respect to an individual's personal values, situational
11	intentions, goal commitments, and well-being. Secondary appraisal refers to a
12	cognitive-evaluative process that focuses on minimizing harm or maximizing gains in
13	line with an individual's coping potential (Lazarus, 1991). Lazarus (1999, 2000)
14	expanded this approach through the CMR theory of emotions and proposed that
15	following the appraisal process, emotional and behavioral responses (mediated by
16	actual coping strategies) will have an influence on actual [sport] performance (Hanton
17	Neil, & Mellalieu, 2008; Neil, Fletcher, Hanton, & Mellalieu, 2007). For example, if
18	an individual does not believe they have the resources to deal with the demands
19	encountered in the competition environment, then he or she will perceive the situation
20	as threatening or harmful and experience negative emotions such as anxiety and anger
21	This affective response may then be associated with negative behaviour (e.g., physical
22	tension) and performance (e.g., skill breakdown).
23	Lazarus further advocated that stress and emotion should be considered as one,
24	co-existing, and interdependent process in the CMR theory of emotions. Based on his
25	proposition, various types of stress appraisal (i.e., harm, benefit, challenge, or threat)

1 were suggested to evoke different emotions. For example, an individual may 2 experience anxiety when a stressor is appraised as an uncertain threat, while anger 3 may be experienced as a result of perceived personal harm. Thus an inability to cope 4 with such emotions can distract athletes from the task at hand during sporting 5 competition (Lazarus, 2000). Based on this notion, sport psychology researchers have 6 explored the different coping strategies used by athletes (e.g., Nicholls & Polman, 7 2007). In a systematic review on coping in sport, Nicholls and Polman reported five 8 primary coping dimensions used by athletes: problem-focused coping (e.g., seeking 9 information); emotion-focused coping (e.g. seeking emotional support); avoidance 10 coping (e.g., removing oneself from the situation); approach coping (e.g., increasing 11 effort); and appraisal coping (e.g., re-evaluation of the situation). 12 Sport psychology researchers have explored the various coping strategies used 13 by athletes in relation to numerous stressors (e.g., Devonport, Lane, & Biscombe, 14 2013; Thelwell, Weston, & Greenless, 2007; Weston, Thelwell, Bond, & Hutchings, 15 2009). For example, Weston and colleagues (2009) examined the stressors and the 16 coping strategies used by five elite single-handed sailors. They highlighted a variety 17 of competitive, organizational, and personal stressors that were regulated through a 18 number of coping responses (e.g., problem-, emotion-, appraisal-, and approach-19 focused coping). Similarly, Thelwell et al. (2007) explored the stressors experienced 20 and coping strategies used by elite cricketers. Cricket, by its very nature, exposes 21 players to a diversity of stressful situations that occur frequently over a period of 22 hours and, sometimes, days. Given the duration at which cricket matches play-out, 23 Thelwell and colleagues reported many stressors including personal issues, match 24 specific issues, and external factors that were attended to by a variety of coping 25 strategies including social support, reflection, and self-talk. In sum, the

1	aforementioned literature has illustrated provisional links between stressors and the
2	implemented coping strategies, however future researchers need to explore: 1) the
3	entire SEC process through an approach that encapsulates each component as an
4	interdependent transaction; and, 2) the coping strategies used to manipulate the stress
5	and emotion experience to enable the prevalence of helpful behaviors (e.g., Thelwell
6	et al., 2007; Weston et al., 2009).
7	Building on the research that isolated the individual components of the SEC
8	process, several scholars have taken a holistic approach and examined more of the
9	SEC process (e.g., Neil, Bayston, Hanton, & Wilson, 2013a; Neil, Hanton, Mellalieu,
10	& Fletcher, 2011; Nicholls, Polman, & Levy, 2012). To illustrate, Nicholls and
11	associates used path analysis and highlighted a sequential account of SEC experiences.
12	Their data supported the notion that stressors, appraisals, emotions, and coping are
13	highly related constructs. Using a qualitative approach, Neil and colleagues (2011)
14	provided insight into the transaction of both male and female athletes across multiple
15	sporting environments through interviews and single-case procedures. The data
16	revealed the relationship between initial appraisals, emotions, further appraisals, and
17	subsequent behavior, emphasizing the different influence of athletes' appraisals on
18	their emotional response(s). Further, athletes who viewed their emotional response as
19	positive increased their effort and concentration, and enhanced performance. In
20	contrast, athletes who viewed emotions as detrimental described performing poorly.
21	Similarly, Neil and colleagues (2013a) examined the influence of stress and emotions
22	on referee decision-making through identifying the stressors encountered, the
23	consequent appraisals, emotions, and adopted coping strategies. Data highlighted the
24	use of problem-focused and emotion-focused coping strategies to deal with the
25	negative appraisals and associated emotions, a process that enabled better decision-

1 making.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

25

Oualitative designs such as the one adopted by Neil and colleagues (2011: 2013a) have been advocated to explore the "theoretical heart" of the SEC process (Lazarus, 1991, p. 61). Despite this emerging body of work providing a more holistic insight into the SEC process and the impact on performance behavior, studies have typically adopted a snapshot approach through retrospective methods. That is, they have considered one transaction at one moment in time, failing to consider the ongoing intricacies of a person's stress experience(s). To highlight the reciprocal nature of the SEC process, future researchers may adopt temporal methods to further understand athletes' experiences (Hanton et al., 2012). Such approaches would highlight the sport-specific experiences of athletes and give insight into the stressors, appraisals, emotions, coping strategies, and behavioral responses associated with sports performance over time. Adhering to the aforementioned recommendations the present study adds to the extant literature by providing a more representative account of Lazarus' conceptualization of SEC through adopting a temporal and holistic examination of cricketers' SEC experiences. Specifically, we aimed to highlight the SEC process in its entirety with a view to extending the provisional links demonstrated in the stress and coping research (e.g., Devonport et al., 2013; Thelwell et al., 2007; Weston et al., 2009). Therefore, in this study we explored the stressors, cognitions (appraisals), emotions, coping strategies, and behaviors that elite cricketers experienced throughout a 7-day period leading up to and on the day of the first competitive fixture of the season.

24 Method

## **Participants**

In line with the recent SEC literature (e.g., Hanton, Wagstaff, & Fletcher, 2012; Neil et al., 2011), we used a purposive sampling approach to obtain the sample for this study. Having approached the Cricket Team's management to gain preliminary access to their players, the lead author gave a formal presentation to explain the study. Specifically, the presentation included an outline of the our interest in understanding the SEC experiences of elite cricketers, and how these experiences influenced elite cricketers' behaviors in the 7-day period leading up to and on the day of the first competitive fixture of the season. After verbally agreeing to participate in the study, four elite male cricketers with ages ranging from 20 to 23 (M = 21.25, SD =1.5) provided written informed consent to participate in this study.

## **Data Collection**

Stress and emotion diaries. We collected data from the cricketers through diaries completed for a 7-day pre-competition period and on the day of the Cricket Team's first competitive fixture of the season. Using diaries has been described as essential in capturing the temporal and dynamic nature of the SEC process (Lazarus, 2000). More specifically, we required an intensive monitoring process to illustrate the plethora of demands an individual may encounter each day, the meaning they ascribe to these encounters, and their attempts to cope (Dewe & Trenberth, 2004). Consistent with previous research (e.g., Hanton et al., 2012; Neil, Hanton, & Mellalieu, 2013b), we developed a standardized log for this study. The Stress and Emotion Diaries (SEDs) included a page for each day that prompted the players to record stressors that had affected their emotional state during each day. To reduce issues relating to retrospective recall the cricketers completed the SEDs at the end of each day (i.e., prior to getting ready for sleep; Campbell & Jones, 2002). When recording the stressors, we prompted the participants to report their thoughts concerning the stressor

1	(e.g., specifically, what were you thinking in relation to the stressor?) and the
2	resultant emotions (e.g., how did this stressor make you feel?). Prior to issuing the
3	SEDs, the lead author explained the requirements of the data collection process and
4	provided the participants with an information sheet containing example diary entries.
5	During data collection, the lead researcher attended the Cricket Team's training
6	session each day to answer any questions and help ensure adherence (e.g., Hanton et
7	al., 2012; Nicholls et al., 2005).
8	Collection of video footage. To promote accurate recall and reflection during
9	competition, video footage of each participant during competition was collected to use
10	alongside post-competition interviews. This approach has previously been successful
11	in providing a stimulus to encourage more vivid recollections (e.g., Miles & Neil,
12	2013; Smith & Harwood, 2002). With the Cricket Club's approval, the lead author
13	recorded footage of the competition on location using a 50Hz camera situated directly
14	behind the wicket from the media end of the ground (Miles & Neil, 2013).
15	Video editing. To explore the participants' SEC experiences, we used
16	Sportscode to edit the video into 15-second clips representing each competitive
17	stressor identified within the SEDs. For all the participants, footage was also provided
18	of the warm up, taking the field, and bowling or batting performances.
19	Interview process. We interviewed the cricketers the day after competition to
20	reduce issues with retrospective recall. For the purpose of this study, we deemed an
21	open-ended, semi-structured interview technique as most appropriate to enable us to
22	explore newly emerging themes whilst gaining greater clarification and understanding
23	of participants' experiences (Patton, 2002). We tailored the interview guides for each
24	participant in-line with the data collected from the SEDs and divided the interview
25	guide into two sections. Section one contained a logical and progressive sequence of

1	questioning encouraging the participants to elaborate on the stressors (e.g., "you
2	mentioned the meeting was pointless, what made you record this?"), cognitions (e.g.,
3	"what specifically were you thinking when he told you this?"), emotions (e.g., "how
4	would you describe the way you were feeling at this point?"), coping strategies (e.g.,
5	"what did you do to help cope with these thoughts and feelings?"), and behaviors (e.g.
6	"how would you describe your behavior at this point?") emerging throughout the pre-
7	competition period. In sum, within the first section of the interview we explored the
8	participants' SEC experiences throughout the 7-day period leading up to competition.
9	In section two we focused on the participants' SEC experiences on the day of and
10	during actual competition. To minimize issues surrounding retrospective recall that
11	has limited previous studies within cricket (e.g., Thelwell et al., 2007), the questions
12	regarding competitive experiences were accompanied by video footage of each
13	participant during their performance.
13 14	participant during their performance.  Pilot studies. We piloted the SEDs with two recreational cricketers
14	Pilot studies. We piloted the SEDs with two recreational cricketers
14 15	<b>Pilot studies.</b> We piloted the SEDs with two recreational cricketers throughout a 3-day period leading up to competition. Following the pilot we made
<ul><li>14</li><li>15</li><li>16</li></ul>	<b>Pilot studies.</b> We piloted the SEDs with two recreational cricketers throughout a 3-day period leading up to competition. Following the pilot we made only cosmetic changes to the layout of the SEDs. The data collected over the 3-day
<ul><li>14</li><li>15</li><li>16</li><li>17</li></ul>	<b>Pilot studies.</b> We piloted the SEDs with two recreational cricketers throughout a 3-day period leading up to competition. Following the pilot we made only cosmetic changes to the layout of the SEDs. The data collected over the 3-day period created a template for a pilot interview guide. Based on this template, the lead
<ul><li>14</li><li>15</li><li>16</li><li>17</li><li>18</li></ul>	Pilot studies. We piloted the SEDs with two recreational cricketers throughout a 3-day period leading up to competition. Following the pilot we made only cosmetic changes to the layout of the SEDs. The data collected over the 3-day period created a template for a pilot interview guide. Based on this template, the lead author then interviewed the same two recreational cricketers following competition.
<ul><li>14</li><li>15</li><li>16</li><li>17</li><li>18</li><li>19</li></ul>	Pilot studies. We piloted the SEDs with two recreational cricketers throughout a 3-day period leading up to competition. Following the pilot we made only cosmetic changes to the layout of the SEDs. The data collected over the 3-day period created a template for a pilot interview guide. Based on this template, the lead author then interviewed the same two recreational cricketers following competition. This process enabled the interviewer to become familiar with the type of responses
14 15 16 17 18 19 20	Pilot studies. We piloted the SEDs with two recreational cricketers throughout a 3-day period leading up to competition. Following the pilot we made only cosmetic changes to the layout of the SEDs. The data collected over the 3-day period created a template for a pilot interview guide. Based on this template, the lead author then interviewed the same two recreational cricketers following competition. This process enabled the interviewer to become familiar with the type of responses the open-ended questions would provoke and ways to stimulate detailed descriptions
14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	Pilot studies. We piloted the SEDs with two recreational cricketers throughout a 3-day period leading up to competition. Following the pilot we made only cosmetic changes to the layout of the SEDs. The data collected over the 3-day period created a template for a pilot interview guide. Based on this template, the lead author then interviewed the same two recreational cricketers following competition. This process enabled the interviewer to become familiar with the type of responses the open-ended questions would provoke and ways to stimulate detailed descriptions (Flick, Kardorff, & Steinke, 2004). We made minor amendments to the interview
14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	Pilot studies. We piloted the SEDs with two recreational cricketers throughout a 3-day period leading up to competition. Following the pilot we made only cosmetic changes to the layout of the SEDs. The data collected over the 3-day period created a template for a pilot interview guide. Based on this template, the lead author then interviewed the same two recreational cricketers following competition. This process enabled the interviewer to become familiar with the type of responses the open-ended questions would provoke and ways to stimulate detailed descriptions (Flick, Kardorff, & Steinke, 2004). We made minor amendments to the interview guide following the pilot to promote better clarification (e.g., "I'm not entirely sure

## **Data Analysis**

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Following all the interviews being transcribed verbatim, the lead author sent copies of the transcripts to the participants. This process enabled the participants to reflect on the interview and ensure the transcripts represented a valid description of events. Following confirmation from the participants that the transcriptions were accurate, we read and re-read the transcripts to improve our understanding of each of the participants' unique experiences (Kvale, 2009). In-line with previous research (e.g., Hanton et al., 2012), we identified and coded words, phrases, and quotes associated with the stressors each participant encountered and the associated cognitions, emotions, coping strategies, and behavioral responses. We then conducted inductive and deductive content analyses (Côté, Salmela, Baria, & Russell, 1993), with key components categorized into a Microsoft<sup>®</sup> Excel<sup>®</sup> document (Meyer & Avery, 2009). To elaborate, the stressors and the associated cognitions, emotions, coping strategies, and behavioral responses were inductively analyzed into manageable meaning units (Côté et al., 1993) before being classified through deductive means in line with previous literature – consequently adopting a postpositivistic approach. For example, we categorized the stressors into competitive, organizational, and personal sub-categories in line with existing stress literature (see Fletcher, Hanton, & Mellalieu, 2006). We organized the cognitions and emotions using Lazarus's (2000) existing categories; whilst the coping strategies were also classified using Lazarus's (1999) model of coping that has been widely adopted within the sport literature (Nicholls & Polman, 2007). Finally, the emanating behaviors remained inductively organized and were recorded as quotes from each participant. We then sent the raw data in the Microsoft<sup>®</sup> Excel<sup>®</sup> document and the first draft of the results section of this paper to the participants for member-checking procedures which verified our interpretations of the data.

**Results** 2 The data we collected highlights the types of stressors and subsequent cognitive, 3 emotional, coping, and behavioral responses that elite cricketers experienced 4 throughout the 7-day pre-competition period and on the day of the first competitive 5 fixture of the season. To clearly represent the large amount of data gathered during this study, we display the data through temporal representations using Microsoft® 6 7 Visio<sup>®</sup> (see Figures 1-4). The representations give details of: the stressors; the 8 associated cognitions (appraisals); the subsequent emotions; the coping strategies; and 9 the behavioral outcomes. To facilitate a contextual understanding and provide an 10 empathetic view of the collective experiences of the players, we accompany these 11 representations with a selection of narrative and descriptive quotes (Smith & Sparkes, 12 2005). 13 **Pre-Competition Period** 14 From the 68 stressors recorded throughout the pre-competition period (see 15 Figures 1-4), 55 of the preceding SEC transactions concerned performance stressors. 16 In line with the stressors, all the players identified cognitions (appraisals) associated 17 with a variety of competitive stressors that resulted in either positive or negative 18 emotions, attempts to cope, and behavioral responses. To guide the reader through our 19 findings, we describe the players' experiences in four stages of the week leading up to, 20 and including, competition: 1) early in the week (Day 1-3); 2) throughout the middle 21 of the week (Day 4-6); 3) the day before competition (Day 7); and 4) the day of 22 competition. In each stage we illustrate the most frequently encountered stressors and 23 the subsequent components of the SEC process. 24 **Day 1-3** 25 Early in the week, the most frequently cited competitive stressor by each

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

1 player was team practice (including a practice fixture). When given the opportunity to 2 elaborate on his experiences, player C (Figure 3, Day 1) highlighted practice to be a 3 commonly reported stressor and his attempts to cope: 4 I know it is important to perform well in practice to show the coaches that I 5 have improved throughout the winter [stressor]. I often think about the 6 importance of hitting the ball well leading up to the first class match as it 7 increases your chances of selection [threat appraisal]. This leaves me feeling 8 slightly anxious [emotion]. When you are feeling like this it is important to try 9 and relax and watch the ball. This is something I will say to myself, 'relax and 10 watch ball' during batting practice as it helps me focus [problem-focused]

behavior]. The above quotation highlights the importance of displaying competence at each practice to help the players achieve their overall goal of being selected for the competition. The potential of performing poorly in practice was appraised as threatening in relation to the chances of selection. In line with this appraisal and the associated anxiety response, all of the players reported the value of coping. Precompetition routine was the most commonly used coping strategy to regulate anxiety and redirect focus to the task. For example, player B reported that he would focus on "the routine that [he] would use in the match" prior to going into bat (Figure 2, Day 1). He continued, "... when you are feeling under pressure and anxious, it is important that you have one constant routine that enables you to feel relaxed and focused". Although the components of each players pre-competition routines were different, emphasis was placed on a systematic and "consistent routine" to cope with these demands.

coping] and, on this occasion during practice, I played well [performance

1	Although practice was the most commonly cited stressor throughout the week,
2	demands from outside of cricket were noted to affect the players' cognitions,
3	emotions, and behaviors at the start of the pre-competition period. On Day 2, player A
4	reported that he had recently broken up with his girlfriend and noticed himself
5	becoming particularly aware of his demands:
6	The break up made me realize I am at a big crossroad in my life [stressor]. I
7	don't know what will come after university Will I play cricket? Will I get a
8	job? Will I get back with my girlfriend? Will I be living away from home? It
9	seems like I have a lot on my plate and I'm not sure how I'm going to handle
10	it, let alone focus on the match at the weekend [threat appraisal].
11	Feeling increasingly anxious [emotion], player A returned home to use his social
12	support networks. By confiding in his family, he was able to gain some perspective on
13	his situation [emotional coping] and appeared much more sociable and attentive
14	[behavior] (Figure 1, Day 2). When we asked player A how he was feeling the next
15	morning, he responded positively:
16	In the morning, all the worrying thoughts had gone and I was looking forward
17	to our practice fixture [stressor]. I was thinking 'cricket is the most important
18	thing in my life right now' [benefit appraisal] and I was really happy and
19	looking forward to the day ahead [emotion]. I returned to my normal self
20	[behavior].
21	Despite the temporary diversion of player A's attention, he later stated that "those
22	thoughts are still there, but being around the boys and striving for selection enabled
23	me to cope with it". The above quotation illustrates the value of social support as an
24	emotion-focused coping strategy and also highlights that cognitions can be diverted
25	towards other important goals (e.g., the goal of being selected for competition).

## Day 4-6

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Although the players recorded personal issues and demands associated with displaying competence in practice, organizational stressors related to the coach's view on selection became more frequent as the week progressed. All of the players continually appraised their chances of selection as a consequence of coach perception. Indeed, the demand of selection was most often timed at night following the days practice. Half way through the week, three of the four players reported cognitions associated with their chances of selection. Player C provided insight into the general thoughts of the group on Day 4: What the coach thinks of you [stressor] and where he sees your role in the team is particularly concerning [threat appraisal]. You often end up feeling anxious and frustrated [emotion] no matter how much reassurance you get from your teammates throughout the week [emotion-focused coping]. Most evenings I would find myself sitting in my room rather than socializing with my housemates [behavior]. The above quotation shows player C's view on selection in relation to coach perception(s) and the rationale for many of the cognitions that the players had throughout the week. Regardless of how the players viewed their practice throughout the week, organizational stressors concerning the uncertainty of the coach's opinion was appraised as a threat given the importance of their selection for competition. Towards the end of the week there were several occasions when the players highlighted stressors that were perceived to enhance or diminish team values prior to competition. To elaborate, player D illustrated an occasion on Day 5 where several players jeopardized team values by wearing the wrong kit, which threatened the prospects of a good practice session (Figure 4):

1 Three or four of the boys weren't wearing the right clothing and as soon as 2 they walked into the meeting you could see that the coach wasn't impressed 3 [stressor]. This was reflected by a poor start to our warm ups and could have 4 led on to a bad net session [harm appraisal]. Given how close we were to 5 competition, this made me angry [emotion]. I knew getting angry wouldn't 6 help so I started to joke with one of the lads that was wearing the wrong kit 7 [emotion-focused coping]. This helped my body language in the session 8 [behavior] and, slowly, the atmosphere improved. 9 The use of humor was highlighted on several occasions to enable coping with team-10 related organizational stressors. To elaborate, player A explained why he used humor 11 to cope with one of his teammates making him late for practice on Day 6 (Fig.1): 12 We jokingly, yet seriously, let him know that we were annoyed. For example, 13 we were swearing and saying he's always late. Although I was annoyed, 14 having a joke made it a little easier. I think it gets the message across without 15 causing too many issues. I hope that he takes it well but learns his lesson from 16 it. If I didn't make a joke of it, it would have festered and I may have taken it 17 out on him later. 18 Both of these explanations highlight how the players used humor within the team 19 environment to maintain a positive team ethos whilst ensuring that their teammates 20 were aware of their misdemeanors. 21 The Day Before Competition (Day 7) 22 At the end of the week, three of the four players (A, B, and D) were selected 23 for the competition. Although player C missed out on selection for the competition, he was named as 12<sup>th</sup> man. Given that the role of 12<sup>th</sup> man required player C to be 24

present at the competition, his experiences were recorded (Figure 3, Competition).

1 Upon receiving news of selection, all three of the selected players reflected upon their 2 week's preparation. Depending on the perception of their performances throughout 3 the week, the resultant cognitions represented a benefit or threat appraisal and 4 subsequently positive (i.e., happiness) or negative (i.e., anxiety) emotions. To 5 elaborate, player D provided insight into the experience he had on the night prior to 6 competition (Figure 4, Day 7): 7 I knew that I had been training well [stressor] all week and that gives you such 8 a confidence boost [benefit appraisal]. Reflecting on this made me feel really 9 happy [emotion] and confident going into the game. Despite these thoughts, 10 you sometimes find yourself thinking about letting yourself and your team 11 down [threat appraisal]. To counter this, I would tell to myself, 'you know 12 you are up to pace' and that helped me a lot as the game was so close 13 [problem-focused coping]. Despite the bursts of anxiety, I was very happy 14 [emotions] about my chances the next day and as a result I was buzzing and 15 full of energy whilst sat with my housemates [behavior]. 16 The above quotation highlights the continual and fluctuating nature of the SEC 17 process. In addition, it highlights the use of positive reminders, through the use of 18 self-talk, as a form of coping to negate any feelings of anxiety. In particular, the 19 players would appear to find positives in stressors that were initially deemed to be 20 threatening (e.g., past performances in practice). 21 **Day of Competition** 22 Upon waking up on the morning of the competition, the players recorded their 23 thoughts surrounding the approaching competition [stressor]. Having spent the 24 majority of the week with concerns over selection, all the players initially appraised

the competition as a great occasion [benefit appraisal] that they could enjoy.

1	Typically, all of the players reported feelings of happiness [emotion] at the start of the
2	day. Specifically, the players drew upon their week's preparation as a source of
3	confidence to explain their feelings. To illustrate, player A stated:
4	Despite all the ups and downs you have in the week with thoughts concerning
5	your performance in practice, you actually become stronger and more
6	confident as a result. Being able to draw on this will be important as the day
7	goes on; it will particularly help when you are put on the spot to perform
8	[when batting or bowling].
9	The sentiments provided above were consistent with the three players who had made
10	the team for competition. However, as the morning progressed, it was apparent that
11	the players' goals had changed from gaining selection to performing well in the
12	competition. For example, player A gave more insight into this change:
13	For players on this scheme there are no bigger games than this. I think most of
14	the players would agree that this is the most important match of the season
15	[stressor] Your focus changes quickly from getting in the team to wanting
16	to play well It becomes important that you play well to make a name for
17	yourself [threat appraisal].
18	To cope with the reported anxiety [emotion] associated with their approaching
19	performance, all three of the cricketers who were selected for competition focused on
20	their pre-competition preparation and routines [problem-focused coping]. Player B
21	advocated the use of a pre-competition routine as it helped "stop any negative
22	thoughts creeping in and focused [him] on the task at hand". As a result he was "very
23	focused" in the morning's warm-ups and executed his pre-competition preparation
24	"really well" [behavior].
25	Specific to competition, the three selected players (A, B, and D) reported

different stressors associated to their individual roles. To elaborate, player A gave

insight into being asked to bowl by the captain (Figure 1, Competition):

I had been waiting for about 45 minutes when the captain asked me to bowl [stressor]. Although you want to be excited, you can't help but feel anxious [emotion] about the occasion given that you don't want to fail [threat appraisal]. By concentrating on my pre-competition routine it helped me focus on my skills rather than all the other thoughts you get [problem-focused coping]. With my first ball, I fully committed to my routine and I got a wicket [competition behavior].

Player A was not alone in his use of pre-competition routines to help him cope with the demands of competition. All three participants reported pre-competition routines as a valuable coping strategy during competition, as it enabled them to focus on their skills as well as relax during times of increased anxiety. In addition, during periods of self-doubt, the players used self-talk as a reminder of their preparation throughout the week and their readiness to compete.

16 Discussion

This study adds to the extant literature by becoming the first to provide a holistic and temporal exploration of SEC underpinned by the CMR theory of emotions (Lazarus, 1999). By addressing the call for more temporal designs to explore the SEC process (Hanton et al., 2012), we have demonstrated some of the SEC experiences of elite cricketers throughout a 7-day pre-competition period and on the day of the first competitive fixture of the season. These data provide insight into the transactions that cricketers have with their environment through: the stressors, cognitions (appraisals), emotions, coping strategies, and eventual behavioral outcomes in the lead up to an important competition. Consequently, data support existing literature that illustrated

1 athletes face a diversity of demands, appraise each demand in relation to their existing 2 goals, experience a myriad of emotions, and attempt to cope through a number of 3 different strategies, all of which ultimately affect performance behaviors (Neil et al., 4 2011; 2013b). 5 Whilst the narratives provide specific insight into the SEC transactions that 6 arise during the lead up to, and during, competition, the temporal representations (see 7 Figures 1-4) also illustrate the ongoing and continuous nature of the SEC process over 8 a prolonged period of time. Specifically, the players in this study reported a number of 9 stressors across the week, some of which reoccurred in line with their existing 10 performance goals. Despite the emphasis on performance stressors, the players 11 continued to experience stressors that emanated from outside the performance 12 environment (Hanton, Fletcher, & Coulglan, 2005; Woodman & Hardy, 2001). That is, 13 organizational (e.g., team issues) and personal (e.g., relationships) stressors that 14 evoked a number of cognitions, emotions, coping responses, and behavioral outcomes. 15 Indeed, our data illustrate the need for athletes to continuously cope with numerous 16 demands from a variety of contexts to avoid conflict with their most salient goals (e.g., 17 Fletcher et al., 2006; Mellalieu, Neil, Hanton, & Fletcher, 2009). 18 To cope with the stressors and the resulting cognitive and emotional responses, 19 the players reported using several coping strategies. Consistent with the CMR theory 20 of emotions, the coping strategies were implemented during threat and harm 21 appraisals (including negative emotional responses; Lazarus, 2000). In particular, the 22 players expressed the use of pre-competition routines, social support, self-talk, and 23 humor (e.g., Cotterill, 2011; Gaudreau, Blondin, & Lapierre, 2002; Miles & Neil, 24 2013; Thewell et al., 2007). Although the type of coping strategy used was specific 25 and unique to each player's appraisal, analysis of the data revealed several common

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

strategies. For example, pre-competition routines were regularly used to help cope with many of the competitive stressors appraised as threatening (i.e., the need to display competence) and evoked emotions perceived as detrimental to competition (i.e., anxiety). Previous research on stress and coping in cricket has revealed the importance of pre-match preparatory strategies to help overcome stress from selfinduced pressure and match-specific issues (Thelwell et al., 2007), a result that is congruent with data in our study. Although existing research has indicated the effectiveness of pre-performance routines on skill execution (e.g., Cotterill, 2011), future researchers should continue to adopt more experimental methodologies to explain why pre-performance routines assist performers in regulating the affects of the SEC process on skill execution. Regarding organizational or personal stressors that were appraised as threatening or harmful to goal attainment, the players highlighted the value of social support networks and the use of humor as useful coping strategies. Indeed, athletes have been reported to seek support agencies comprising of teammates and coaches for instrumental and emotional purposes (Bianco, 2001). Although our study provides examples of using teammates as social support for concerns surrounding selection, the data also offer evidence for the use of support networks external to the sports organization (e.g., friends and family). The data indicate providers of social support (from outside of cricket) were used more frequently as an emotion-focused coping strategy since consulting with teammates or coaches was seen to have potential implications for future selection (Bianco, 2001). These data align with previous research exploring the efficacy of Personal-Disclosure Mutual-Sharing (PDMS) intervention strategies on team functioning variables (e.g., Barker, Evans, Coffee, Slater, & McCarthy, 2014). Through the use of PDMS, practitioners may aim to

1 enhance the quality of relationships and rapport among teammates and coaching staff 2 to further enhance the provision of emotional support. Similarly, the data from our 3 study also highlight humor as a potential coping strategy for team-related issues. 4 Comparable to the data of our study, the use of humor has recently been described as 5 a specific interpersonal emotion regulation strategy used in competitive situations 6 (Tamminen & Crocker, 2013). Therefore our preliminary data may provide a valuable 7 avenue for future researchers interested in understanding coping strategies to maintain 8 social relationships in the sport environment (Niven, Totterdell, & Holman, 2009). 9 The strength of this present study is based upon the combination of qualitative 10 techniques to more effectively understand the experiences of elite cricketers in the 11 period leading up to, and during, competition. Advancing on retrospective enquiry, 12 we gave the participants opportunity to reflect upon, and record, their SEC 13 experiences through the use of diaries (e.g., Hanton et al., 2012). Additionally, we 14 used innovative methods to delineate the players' SEC experiences over a prolonged 15 and significant period of time prior to competition. By adopting this approach, we 16 have demonstrated the ongoing and continuous nature of SEC (Lazarus, 1999; 2000), 17 and have highlighted the idiosyncratic nature of each SEC response is underpinned by 18 unique athlete goals. Whilst data have reinforced the credentials of the CMR theory of 19 emotions (Lazarus, 2000), we acknowledge that this study only provides provisional 20 insight into the athletes' goals and their SEC response in relation to performance 21 behaviours. Future researchers should consider investigating the specific goals of 22 athletes, their cognitive and emotional responses, and the effectiveness of specific 23 coping strategies (e.g., self-talk) on athletic performance. 24 In conclusion, this study is the first to provide a holistic and temporal 25 exploration of SEC underpinned by the CMR theory of emotions (Lazarus, 1999).

1	Through exploring the SEC experiences of elite cricketers, data indicate a variety of
2	stressors and subsequent cognitive, emotional, coping, and behavioral responses. In
3	particular, our data support the ongoing and continuous nature of the SEC process and
4	highlight the central role of appraisals (Lazarus, 1999). By using an approach that
5	illuminated the SEC process in its entirety, we have shown the types of coping
6	strategies that cricketers use to regulate their SEC responses and performance
7	behavior(s). Researchers are encouraged to adopt experimental approaches to examine
8	the effectiveness of coping strategies utilized by athletes to regulate their experiences
9	of stress and emotions during performance.

Ţ	References
2	Barker, J., Evans, A. L., Coffee, P., Slater, M., & McCarthy, P. J. (2014). Consulting
3	on tour: A multiple-phase personal-disclosure mutual-sharing intervention and
4	group functioning in elite youth cricket. The Sport Psychologist, 28(2), 186-
5	197.
6	Bianco, T. (2001). Social support and the recovery from sport injury: Elite skiers
7	share their experiences. Research Quarterly for Exercise and Sport, 72(4),
8	376-388. doi: 10.1080/02701367.2001.10608974
9	Campbell, E., & Jones, G. (2002). Cognitive appraisal of sources of stress
10	experienced by elite male wheelchair basketball players. Adapted Physical
11	Activity Quarterly, 19(1), 100-110.
12	Corbin, J., & Strauss, A. (2008). Basics of qualitative research: techniques and
13	procedures for developing grounded theory (3 <sup>rd</sup> Ed.). California: Sage
14	Publications, Inc.
15	Côté, J., Salmela, J. H., Baria, A., & Russell, S.J. (1993). Organizing and interpreting
16	unstructured qualitative data. The Sport Psychologist, 7, 127-137.
17	Cotterill, S., T. (2011). Experiences of developing pre-competition routines with
18	elite cricket players. Journal of Sport Psychology in Action, 2, 81-91.
19	Devonport, T. J., Lane, A. M., & Biscombe, K. (2013). Exploring coping strategies
20	used by national adolescent netball players across domains. Journal of Clinical
21	Sport Psychology, 7(2), 161-177.
22	Dewe, P. J., & Trenberth, L. (2004). Work stress and coping: Drawing together
23	research and practice. British Journal of Guidance and Counselling, 32(2),
24	143-156.
25	Fletcher, D., Hanton, S., & Mellalieu, S. D. (2006). An organizational stress review:
26	Conceptual and theoretical issues in competitive sport. In S. Hanton, & S. D.

- 1 Mellalieu (Eds.), *Literature reviews in sport* psychology (pp. 321-374).
- 2 Hauppauge, NY: Nova Science.
- 3 Flick, W., Kardorff, E.V., & Steinke, I. (2004). A companion to qualitative research.
- 4 London: Sage.
- 5 Gaudreau, P., & Blondin, J-P., & Lapierre, A-M. (2002). Athletes' coping during a
- 6 competition: relationship of coping strategies with positive affect, negative
- 7 affect, and competition—goal discrepancy. Psychology of Sport and Exercise,
- 8 *3*(2), 125-150.
- 9 Hanton, S., Fletcher, D., & Coughlan, G. (2005). Stress in elite sport players: A
- comparative study of competitive and organizational stressors. *Journal of*
- 11 Sports Sciences, 23(10), 1129-1141.
- Hanton, S., Neil, R., & Mellalieu, S. D. (2008). Recent developments in competitive
- anxiety direction and competition stress research. *International Review of*
- 14 Sport and Exercise Psychology, 1(1), 45-57.
- Hanton, S., Wagstaff, C. R. D., & Fletcher, D. (2012). Cognitive appraisals of
- stressors encountered in sport organizations. *International Journal of Sport*
- *and Exercise Psychology*, 10(4), 276-289. doi: 10.1080/1612197X.2012.68237
- 18 Kvale, S. (2009). *Doing Interviews*. London: Sage Publication Ltd.
- 19 Lazarus, R. S. (1991). *Emotion and adaptation*. New York: Oxford University Press.
- Lazarus, R. S. (1999). Stress and emotion: A new synthesis. London: Free Association.
- Lazarus, R. S. (2000). How emotions influence competition in competitive sports. *The*
- 22 *Sport Psychologist, 14*, 229-252.
- Lazarus, R. S., & Folkman, S. (1984). Stress, appraisal, and coping. New York:
- Springer.
- Mellalieu, S. D., Neil, R., Hanton, S., & Fletcher, D. (2009). Competition stress in

1 sport players: stressors experienced in the competition environment. Journal 2 of Sports Sciences, 27(7), 729-744. doi: 10.1080/02640410902889834 3 Meyer, D. Z., & Avery, L. M. (2009). Excel as a qualitative data analysis tool. Field 4 *Methods*, 21(1), 91-112. Miles, A. J., & Neil, R. (2013). The use of self-talk during elite cricket batting 5 6 competition. Psychology of Sport and Exercise, 14(6), 874-881. doi: 7 10.1016/j.psychsport.2013.07.005 8 Neil, R., Bayston, P., Hanton, S., & Wilson, K. (2013a). The influence of stress and 9 emotions on association football referees' decision-making. Sport & Exercise 10 Psychology Review, 9, 22-41. 11 Neil, R., Fletcher, D., Hanton, S., & Mellalieu, S. D. (2007). (Re)conceptualizing 12 competition stress in sport players. Sport & Exercise Psychology Review, 3, 13 23-29. 14 Neil, R., Hanton, S., & Mellalieu, S. D. (2013b). Seeing things in a different light: 15 Assessing the effects of a cognitive-behavioral intervention upon the further 16 appraisals of golfers. Journal of Applied Sport Psychology, 25(1), 106-130. 17 Neil, R., Hanton, S., Mellalieu, S. D., Fletcher, D. (2011). Competition stress and 18 emotion in sport players: The role of further appraisals. Psychology of Sport 19 and Exercise, 12(4), 460-470. doi: 10.1016/j.psychsport.2011.02.001 20 Nicholls, A. R., & Polman, R. (2007). Coping in sport: A systematic review. *Journal* 21 of Sports Sciences, 25(1), 11-31. 22 Nicholls, A. R., Polman, C. J., & Levy, A. R. (2012). A path analysis of stress 23 appraisals, emotions, coping, and competition satisfaction among athletes. 24 Psychology of Sport and Exercise, 13(3), 263-270. doi:

10.1016/j.psychsport.2011.12.003

23

1 Niven, K., Totterdall, P., & Holman, D. (2009). A classification of controlled 2 interpersonal affect regulation strategies. *Emotion*, 9(4), 498-509. Patton, M. Q. (2002). Qualitative research and evaluative methods (3<sup>rd</sup> Ed). London: 3 4 Sage Publications Ltd. 5 Smith, J. M. J., & Harwood, C. G. (2002). The transiency of goal involvement states 6 within match-play: A case study of an elite player. Journal of Sports Sciences, 7 *20*(1), 71-72. 8 Smith, B., & Sparkes, A. C. (2005). Analyzing talk in qualitative inquiry: exploring 9 possibilities, problems, and tensions. Quest, 57(2), 213-242. 10 Tamminen, K. A., & Crocker, P. R. (2013). "I control my own emotions for the sake 11 of the team": Emotional self-regulation and interpersonal emotion regulation 12 among female high-performance curlers. Psychology of Sport and Exercise, 13 14(5), 737-747. 14 Thelwell, R. C., Weston, N. J. V., & Greenless, I. A. (2007). Batting on a sticky 15 wicket: Identifying sources of stress and associated coping strategies for 16 professional cricket batsmen. Psychology of Sport and Exercise, 8(2), 219-232. 17 doi: 10.1016/j.psychsport.2006.04.002 18 Weston, N. J. V., Thelwell, R. C., Bond, S., & Hutchings, N. V. (2009). Stress and 19 coping in single-handed round-the-world ocean sailing. Journal of Applied 20 Sport Psychology, 21(4), 460-474. doi: 10.1080/10413200903232607 21 Woodman, T., & Hardy, L. (2001). A case study of organizational stress in elite sport.

Journal of Applied Sport Psychology, 13(2), 207-238.

1	Figure Captions
2	Figure 1. Temporal representation of the stress and emotion process of the 8-day
3	period leading up to, and including, competition for player A.
4	
5	Figure 2. Temporal representation of the stress and emotion process of the 8-day
6	period leading up to, and including, competition for player B.
7	
8	Figure 3. Temporal representation of the stress and emotion process of the 8-day
9	period leading up to, and including, competition for player C.
10	
11	Figure 4. Temporal representation of the stress and emotion process of the 8-day
12	period leading up to, and including, competition for player D.







