Structured Finance and Credit Risk of European Banks

Chang Liu

CSM, Cardiff Metropolitan University

Email: cliu@cardiffmet.ac.uk

Abstract

This study investigates the impact of structured finance on risk management for banks. A linear model derived from the one of Salah N and Fedhila H (2012) is followed, using the sample of European banks which have been employed structured finance from 2001 to 2020. The objective of the study is to find out whether structure finance is associated with any change in the quality of loan portfolios and the level of credit risk of banks. It should lead to the discussion of the relationship between structured finance and banking stability during financial crisis.

Existing literature suggests that banks use structured finance as a risk transfer tool over the last decades to actively manage credit risk. However, empirical studies on the effect of structured finance on credit risk generate mixed results. Gorton and Pennacchi (1995) provide evidence in supporting that securitization is adopted to separate loans from originators, therefore reduces credit risk. Jiangli and Pritsker (2008) find that American bank holding companies use MBS (Mortgage-backed securities) to reduce the risk of insolvency, which is consistent with Casu et al. (2010). More recent studies concentrate on the role securitization has on risk-taking and how it makes banks more aggressive in risk management. Aggarwal and Jacques (2001) and Dionne and Harchaoui (2003) find a positive association between securitization and bank risk in Canada. Krahnen and Wilde (2006), and Baur and Joossens (2006) report the increase of systematic risks after securitization announcements. Michalak and Uhde (2010) find that securitization harms banks' financial stability. Accordingly, the choice of the observation period (e.g. pre or post 2007 financial crisis), the geographic region of the study (e.g. American, Spain), and the underlying asset (e.g. mortgage, credit loan) distinguish the test results.

For the European banking industry, apart from the 2007 financial crisis, the European debt crisis peaked between 2010 and 2012, the Brexit which is formally notified in 2017, and the ongoing Covid-19 have brought persistent challenges. As a consequence, low interest rates, regulatory changes, and competition from shadow banks and new digital entrants are changing the traditional risk management for banks. As one of the most important financial innovations, the role of structured finance played within the banking sector has also been varying over the last decades. An investigation of the changing relationship between structured finance and credit risk helps to justify the mechanism of risk transfer within structured finance, and to clarify the impact of structured finance on banks' risk-taking behaviour under different economic statuses.

The study, hence, could provide new evidence of whether structure finance works for the risk management purpose; moreover, generate recommendations for banks who use structured finance to stabilize financial status under tighter regulation and a more competitive financial market.

Keywords: Structured finance, Credit risk, Banking stability, Financial crisis

References:

Aggarwal, R., & Jacques, K. T. (2001). The impact of FDICIA and prompt corrective action on bank capital and risk: Estimates using simultaneous equations model. Journal of Banking and Finance, 25, 1139-1160. http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/S0378-4266(00)00125-4

A Iglesias-Casal, MC López-Penabad, Lopez-Andion C, Maside-Sanfiz J, 2020, Securitization, financial stability and effective risk retention. A European analysis, PloS one, 15(2).

Baur, D., & Joossens, E. (2006). The effect of credit risk transfer on financial stability. EUR Working Paper No 21521 EN. http://dx.doi.org/10.2139/ssrn.881774

Casu, B., Clare, A., Sarkisyan, A., & Thomas S. (2010). Does securitization reduce credit risk taking? Empirical evidence from US bank holding companies. Working Paper Series No 02/10, City University London. [Online] Available: http://www.cass.city.ac.uk/__data/assets/pdf_file/0003/77826/CBR_WP02-10.pdf

Dionne, G., & Harchaoui T. M. (2003). Banks' capital, securitization and credit risk: An empirical evidence for Canada. HEC Working Paper, No 03-01. http://dx.doi.org/10.2139/ssrn.369501

Gorton, G. B., & Pennacchi, G. G. (1995). Banks and loan sales: Marketing nonmarketable assets. Journal of Monetary Economics, 35, 389-411. http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/0304-3932(95)01199-X.

Jiangli, W., & Pritsker, M. (2008). The impacts of securitization on US bank holding companies. http://dx.doi.org/10.2139/ssrn.1102284

Krahnen, J. P., & Wilde, C. (2006). Risk Transfer with CDOs and Systemic Risk in Banking. CFS Working Paper No 2006/04. http://dx.doi.org/10.2139/ssrn.889541

Michalak, T. C., & Uhde, A. (2010). Securitization and systematic risk in European banking: empirical evidence, Journal of Banking and Finance 33, 747-756.

NB Salah, H Fedhila, 2012, Effects of securitization on credit risk and banking stability: Empirical evidence from American commercial banks, International Journal of Economics and Finance 4(5).

S Carbó-Valverde, D Marques-Ibanez, and F Rodriguez-Fernandez, 2012, Securitization, risk-transferring and financial instability: The case of Spain, Journal of International Money and Finance 31, 80-101.

SY Deku, A Kara, Y Zhou, 2019, Securitization, bank behaviour and financial stability: A systematic review of the recent empirical literature, International Review of Financial Analysis, 61.